IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

JOSHUA FELIX ARCHULETA,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. CV 13-1215 LAM

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,

Defendant.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

THIS MATTER is before the Court *sua sponte* to consider Plaintiff's failure to respond to the Court's Order to Show Cause [*Doc. 19*] filed on July 2, 2014, which required that Plaintiff, who appears *pro se*, no later than *July 15, 2014*, either file with the Court a Motion to Reverse or Remand Administrative Agency Decision with a supporting memorandum of law, or file with the Court a response to the Order to Show Cause showing cause why this case should not be dismissed. In the Order to Show Cause, Plaintiff was notified that failure to respond may result in dismissal of his case without prejudice without further notice. [*Doc. 19* at 2]. As of this date, Plaintiff has not responded to the Court's Order to Show Cause, nor has he filed a Motion to Reverse or Remand Administrative Agency Decision.

As stated in the Order to Show Cause [*Doc. 19*], the Court has the inherent power to dismiss a case *sua sponte* for failure to prosecute or for failure to comply with a court order. *See Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 629-31 (1962); *Olsen v. Mapes*, 333 F.3d 1199, 1204 n.3 (10th Cir. 2003). Here, Plaintiff has failed to comply with the Court's Order to Show Cause, and

Plaintiff's "pro se status does not relieve him from complying with the court's procedural requirements." See Barnes v. United States, No. 05-3403, 173 Fed. Appx. 695, 697, 2006 WL 856221 (10th Cir. April 4, 2006) (unpublished) (citation omitted); see also Santistevan v. Colo. Sch. of Mines, No. 04-1513, 150 Fed. Appx. 927, 931, 2005 WL 2697255 (10th Cir. Oct. 21, 2005) (unpublished) (holding that a pro se litigant must follow the same rules of procedure as other litigants). For these reasons, Plaintiff's Complaint (Doc. 1) will be dismissed

WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Plaintiff's Complaint (*Doc. 1*) and this action are **DISMISSED without prejudice**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

without prejudice.

LOURDES A. MARTÍNEZ

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Presiding by Consent